

Questions in [OASPA's feedback form re Equity in OA draft recommendations](#)

----- TWO INITIAL (MANDATORY) QUESTIONS FOR CONTEXT -----

My feedback is given from the perspective of:*

Choose
Academic publisher
Researcher/scholar (also includes authors and/or academic editors)
University or institutional library
Other library (private or public sector)
Library consortium
University, college or higher-education (but not based in the library)
Other research performing organisation (public or private)
Research funder (public or private)
Consultant in the scholarly publishing sector
Infrastructure/service provider to the scholarly publishing sector
Other / none of the above

I am based (or spend significant time) in *

Asia / Oceania / Africa / Europe / South America / North America

----- QUESTIONS ON EACH OF THE FIVE GOALS -----

Five near-identical sections ask the same questions about each of the Goals.

As a reminder, OASPA's headline recommended practices cover these five goals:

1. Enabling open access for all scholars (*Recommendations under this goal are intended for publishers, institutions, research performing organisations and (public & private) research funders.*)
2. Evolving pricing-practices (*Recommendations under this goal are intended for publishers, institutions, research performing organisations and public & private research funders*)
3. Describing models and pricing with accuracy, detail and transparency (*Recommendations under this goal are intended for publishing organisations.*)

4. Supporting more accessible models in the per-publication charge context (*This goal is constructed specifically within the context of per-publication charges and author fees; the recommendations are intended for publishers.*)
5. Reducing burdens in OA publishing workflows (*Recommendations under this goal are intended for publishing organisations and infrastructure/service providers.*)

Here are the questions, repeated five times, seeking inputs about each of the goals:

What do you think widespread adoption of practices under goal [X] will / could lead to?*

What else do you think OASPA should encourage under the details of goal [X]?

Considering goal [X] and its details, what is unclear and needs to be clearer?

What are your other comments on goal [X]?

These above questions are separately asked for each of the goals. Only the first question in each case is mandatory.

----- FINAL SECTION FOR OVERALL COMMENTS -----

Looking internally (at your own organisation / your context), what are the top 3 most likely internal barriers to adoption of these practices?*

Looking externally (at the landscape outside your own organisation / your context), what are the top 3 most likely external barriers to adoption of these practices?*

What practices, perspectives or progress measures have we missed in these recommendations to increase equity in OA?

What links / sources / studies / efforts or other references should OASPA know about when considering and revising this body of work?

What are your other/general comments on this set of recommended practices from OASPA?

How should we move forward? What are the most useful things OASPA could / should do next to build more equity in OA?*

**The first two and the last questions in this section are mandatory.*